5

7

6

9

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

///

28

FILED

2016 DEC 27 A & 50

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF Guardianship and Probate Case Reassignments

Administrative Order: 16-10

WHEREAS, Rule 1.30 of the Rules of Practice for the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada ("EDCR") charges the Chief Judge of the Eighth Judicial District Court with various responsibilities, such as supervising the administrative business of the Court, ensuring the quality and continuity of its services, supervising its calendar, reassigning cases as convenience or necessity requires, assuring the court's duties are timely and orderly performed, and otherwise facilitating the business of the Court;

WHEREAS, EDCR 1.60 gives the Chief Judge authority to assign or reassign all cases pending in the district; and,

WHEREAS, the Court has previously issued administrative orders relevant to guardianship and/or probate assignments and recusals, including AO 10-02, AO 11-05, AO 15-02, AO 15-06 and AO 15-08, and intends to modify the assignment and recusal process to further foster consistency, efficiency and fairness.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to EDCR 1.30(b), effective January 17, 2017, that one half of all open and closed adult guardianship cases shall be randomly reassigned to the following judicial departments in proportions to be determined by the court: Department M and Department S.

- 1 -

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to EDCR 1.30(b), that all future adult guardianship cases shall be randomly assigned to the following judicial departments in proportions to be determined by the Court: Department M, Department S, or Department 26.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to EDCR 1.30(b), that all future probate cases shall be randomly assigned to the following judicial departments in proportions to be determined by the Court: Department M, Department S, or Department 26; these departments shall preside over such cases as prescribed by EDCR 4.06, EDCR 4.07 and EDCR 4.08.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that Court Administration shall publish on the Court's website a list of those cases affected by this reassignment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to EDCR 1.30(b), that, in the event Department M, Department S, or Department 26 is peremptorily challenged, recuses or is disqualified from a probate case or adult guardianship case, the case shall be randomly reassigned to one of the remaining departments.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to EDCR 1.30(b), that in the event Department M, Department S and Department 26 are all peremptorily challenged, recuse or are disqualified from a probate case or adult guardianship case, the case shall be assigned to the Chief Judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to EDCR 1.30(b), that this Administrative Order shall supersede AO 10-02, AO 11-05, AO 15-02, AO 15-06 and AO 15-08.

Entered this _	2.75	day of <u>Nocamber</u> , 2016.
		By:
		DAVID BARKER
		Chief Judge
		Eighth Judicial District Court